

Unit - IV

Analysis of Variance

Experiment:-

An experiment is just a test or series of test. Experiments are performed in all engineering disciplines are an important part of the way, we learn about how systems work.

Experimental units:-

The object upon which the measurements are taken are called experimental units.

Basic principles in the design of experiments:-

There are 3 basic principles of experimental design, they are

* Randomization

* Replication

* Local control.

Adv of CRD: (10)

* It is easy to layout the design

* It allows for more flexibility, simplicity, validity.

Randomization:- (8)

A set of objects is said to be randomized when they are arranged in random order.

Adv. of Latin Square:- (9)

* With two-way classification Latin Square control more of the variations than the CRD and RBD.

* It is simple, but compared to RBD, LSD is complicated.

* The analysis remains simple even with missing data.

Replication:- (4) Adv ^{to} reduce the experimental error

* It is the independent execution of an experiment more than once.

* It is necessary to increase the accuracy of estimates of the treatment effects.

Local control:- ^{repetition of treatments under investigation}

* This includes techniques such as grouping, blocking and balancing of experimental units used in the experimental design.

Complete block designs:-

- * Completely randomized Design (CRD).
- * Randomized Block Design (RBD)
- * Latin square Design. (LSD)

Analysis of variance (ANOVA):- (1)

[ANOVA] is an arithmetical procedure, used to express the total variation of data as the sum of its non-negative components.

Assumptions made in ANOVA:- (5)

- * The observations are independent.
- * Parent population from which observations are taken in normal population.

Uses of ANOVA:-

the homogeneity of several means. This technique is now frequently applied.

One way classification:-
Completely Randomized Design (CRD):-
This is the simplest of all designs based on the principles of randomization and randomization.

Applications of CRD:-

- * It is most useful in laboratory technique and methodological studies.
- * This design is flexible, any no. of treatments can be used.

Disadvantages of CRD:-

This design is less efficient.

Working Procedure:-

Step 1:

Assume H_0 : There is no significant difference between the treatments.

H_1 : There is significant difference between the treatments.

Step 2:

Find the no. of observations N .

Step 3:-

Find the total value of all the observation "T"
 $T = \sum x_1 + \sum x_2 + \dots + \sum x_k$

step 4:

Calculate the correction

factor $CF = \frac{T^2}{N}$

step 5:

calculate the total sum of square

$$TSS = \sum x_1^2 + \sum x_2^2 + \dots - \frac{T^2}{N}$$

step 6:

calculate the column sum of squares

$$SSC = \frac{(\sum x_1)^2}{N_1} + \frac{(\sum x_2)^2}{N_1} + \frac{(\sum x_3)^2}{N_1} + \dots - \frac{T^2}{N}$$

where, N is the no. of elements in each column or row.

step 7:

calculate error sum of squares

$$SSE = TSS - SSC$$

step 8:-

Prepare the "ANOVA" table to

calculate F - ratio . (3) Two way → (4).

ANOVA TABLE:-

Source of Variation	sum of squares (SS)	d.f	Mean Square	Variance ratio	Table Value
Between columns	SSC	c-1	$MSC = \frac{SSC}{c-1}$	$F_c = \frac{MSE}{MSC}$	
Error	SSE	N-c	$MSE = \frac{SSE}{N-c}$	$F_c = \frac{MSC}{MSE}$	
Total	TSS				

Step 9:

Write the conclusion.

Problems:

1. There are three main brands of certain powder. A set of 120 sample values is examined and found to be allocated among 4 groups A, B, C, D and three brands I, II, III as shown here under.

Brands	Groups			
	A	B	C	D
I	0	4	8	15
II	5	8	13	6
III	8	19	11	13

Is there any significant difference in brands preference? Assume at 5% level

Sol:-

Step 1:-

Assume.

H_0 : There is no significant difference in brands.

H_1 : There is significant difference in brands.

Brands	Groups				Total	X_1^2	X_2^2	X_3^2	X_4^2
	A(X_1)	B(X_2)	C(X_3)	D(X_4)					
I (Y_1)	0	4	8	15	27	0	16	64	225
II (Y_2)	5	8	13	6	32	25	64	169	36
III (Y_3)	8	19	11	13	51	64	361	121	169
Total	13	31	32	34	110	89	441	354	430

Step 2:

No. of observation = $N = 12$

Step 3:

$$T = 110$$

Step 4:

$$\frac{T^2}{N} = \frac{(110)^2}{12} = 1008.3$$

Step 5:

$$TSS = \sum x_1^2 + \sum x_2^2 + \sum x_3^2 + \sum x_4^2 - \frac{T^2}{N}$$

$$TSS = 89 + 441 + 354 + 430 - 1008.3$$

$$TSS = 305.7$$

Step 6:

$$SSR = \frac{(\sum Y_1)^2}{N_1} + \frac{(\sum Y_2)^2}{N_1} + \frac{(\sum Y_3)^2}{N_1} - \frac{T^2}{N}$$

$N_1 \rightarrow$ No. of elements in each row.

$$= \frac{(27)^2}{4} + \frac{(32)^2}{4} + \frac{(51)^2}{4} - 1008.3$$

$$SSR = 80.2$$

Step 7:

$$SSE = TSS - SSR$$

$$= 305.7 - 80.2$$

$$= 225.5$$

Step 8:

ANOVA TABLE:-

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean Squares	Variance ratio	Table Value at 5% Level
Between Rows	SSR = 80.2	$\gamma - 1$ $= 3 - 1$ $\gamma = 2$	$MSR = \frac{SSR}{\gamma - 1}$ $= \frac{80.2}{2}$ $= 40.1$	$F_{cal} = \frac{MSR}{MSE}$ $= \frac{40.1}{25.05}$ $= 1.601$	$F_{tab} = 4.26$
Error	SSE = 225.5	$N - \gamma = 12 - 3$ $N - \gamma = 9$	$MSE = \frac{SSE}{N - \gamma}$ $= \frac{225.50}{9}$ $= 25.05$		

Step 9:

Conclusion:

calculated $F_R = 1.6$, Table $F_R = 4.26$.

Here $cal F_R < Tab F_R$.

∴ We accept H_0 .

There is no significance difference between brands.

2. The following are the no. of mistakes made in 5 successive days of 4 technician working for a photo graphic Laboratory.

Technician 1	Technician 2	Technician 3	Technician 4
X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4
6	14	10	9
14	9	12	12
10	12	7	8
8	10	15	10
11	14	11	11

Test at the LOS $\alpha = 0.01$, whether the differences among the 4 sample means can be attributed to chance.

Sol:-

Step 1:

H_0 = There is no significant difference between the technicians

H_1 = There is significant difference between the technician.

Origin 10.

X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	Total	X_1^2	X_2^2	X_3^2	X_4^2
-4	4	0	-1	-1	16	16	0	1
4	-1	2	2	7	16	1	4	4
0	2	-3	-2	-3	0	4	9	4
-2	0	5	0	3	4	0	25	0
1	4	1	1	7	1	16	1	1
-1	9	5	0	13	37	87	39	10

Step 2:

No. of observation $N = 20$.

Step 3:

$$T = 13$$

Step 4:

$$\frac{T^2}{N} = \frac{(13)^2}{20} = 8.45$$

Step 5:

$$TSS = \sum x_1^2 + \sum x_2^2 + \sum x_3^2 + \sum x_4^2 - \frac{T^2}{N}$$

$$= 37 + 37 + 39 + 10 - 8.45$$

$$TSS = 114.55$$

Step 6:

$$SSC = \frac{(\sum x_1)^2}{N_1} + \frac{(\sum x_2)^2}{N_1} + \frac{(\sum x_3)^2}{N_1} + \frac{(\sum x_4)^2}{N_1} - \frac{T^2}{N}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^2}{5} + \frac{(9)^2}{5} + \frac{(5)^2}{5} + \frac{0^2}{5} - 8.45$$

$$= 12.95$$

Step 7:-

$$SSE = TSS - SSC$$

$$TSS = 114.55$$

$$SSC = 12.95$$

$$SSE = 114.55 - 12.95$$

$$SSE = 101.6$$

Step 8:-

ANOVA TABLE:-

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean Squares	Variance ratio	Table Value
Between Columns	SSC = 12.95	$c-1 = 4-1 = 3$	$MSC = \frac{SSC}{c-1} = \frac{12.95}{4-1} = 4.32$	$F_c = \frac{MSE}{MSC} = \frac{6.35}{4.32} = 1.471$	$F_c(16, 3) = 5.29$
Error	SSE = 101.6	$N-c = 20-4 = 16$	$MSE = \frac{SSE}{N-c} = \frac{101.6}{20-4} = 6.35$	$\left[\frac{MSC}{MSE} < 1 \right]$	

Step 9:

Conclusion:-

$F_{cal} < F_{Tab}$

So we accept H_0

∴ There is no significant signif. difference between technicians.

3. The following table shows the lives in hours of 4 brands of electric lamps.

Brand A	1610	1610	1650	1680	1700	1720	1800	-
Brand B	1580	1640	1640	1700	1750	-	-	-
Brand C	1460	1550	1600	1620	1640	1660	1740	1820
Brand D	1510	1520	1530	1570	1600	1680	-	-

Perform an ANOVA test, the homogeneity of mean lives of the four brands of lamps.

Sol:-

Step 1:

H_0 : There's no significance difference in brands.

H_1 : There's significance difference in brands.

Step 2:

No. of observations $N = 26$.

Origin: Subtract 100 and divide by 10.

x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	Total	X_1^2	X_2^2	X_3^2	X_4^2	
1	-2	-14	-9	-24	1	4	196	81	
1	4	-5	-8	-8	1	16	25	64	
5	4	0	-7	2	25	16	0	49	
8	10	2	-3	17	64	100	4	9	
10	15	4	0	29	100	225	16	0	
12	-	6	8	26	144	-	36	64	
20	-	14	-	34	400	-	196	-	
-	-	22	-	22	-	-	484	-	
Tot:	$\sum x_1 = 57$	$\sum x_2 = 31$	$\sum x_3 = 29$	$\sum x_4 = -19$	$T = 98$	$\sum x_1^2 = 788$	$\sum x_2^2 = 361$	$\sum x_3^2 = 957$	$\sum x_4^2 = 267$

Step 3:

Correction or Error factor

$$\frac{T^2}{N} = \frac{(98)^2}{26} = 369.38$$

step 4:-

Total sum of square:-

$$TSS = \frac{\sum x_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sum x_2^2}{n_2} + \frac{\sum x_3^2}{n_3} + \frac{\sum x_4^2}{n_4} - \frac{T^2}{N}$$
$$= 735 + 361 + 957 + 267 - 369.38$$
$$TSS = 1950.62$$

step 5:-

SSC - Sum of squares of column.

$$SSC = \frac{(\sum x_1)^2}{N_1} + \frac{(\sum x_2)^2}{N_2} + \frac{(\sum x_3)^2}{N_3} + \frac{(\sum x_4)^2}{N_4} - \frac{T^2}{N}$$
$$= \frac{(57)^2}{7} + \frac{(31)^2}{5} + \frac{(29)^2}{8} + \frac{(-19)^2}{6} - 369.38$$
$$= 464.14 + 192.2 + 105.12 + 60.16 - 369.38$$

$$SSC = 452.24$$

step 6:-

Sum of squares of error

$$SSE = TSS - SSC$$

$$= 1950.62 - 452.24$$

$$SSE = 1498.38$$

	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X
1	A	B	A	C	C	A	C	B	A
2	A	B	A	C	C	A	C	B	A
3	A	B	A	C	C	A	C	B	A

Analysis of variance for treatment effect

Step 7: ANOVA TABLE:-

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	DOF	Mean Squares	Variance ratio	Table Value at 5% level
Between Columns	SSC = 452.24	c-1 = 4-1 = 3	MSC = $\frac{SSC}{c-1}$ $= \frac{452.24}{3}$ $= 150.75$	$F_{cal} = \frac{MSC}{MSE}$ $= \frac{150.75}{68.11}$	$F_{tab}(3, 22)$ $= 3.65$
Error	SSE = 1498.37	N-c = 26-4 = 22	MSE = $\frac{SSE}{N-c}$ $= \frac{1498.37}{22}$ $= 68.11$	$= 2.21$	

Step 8:-

conclusion:-

Here $F_{cal} < F_{tab}$

∴ We accept H_0 .

There is no significant difference b/w brands.

4.

H/w.
A completely randomised design experiments with 10 plots and 3 treatments gave the following results:-

Plot No:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Treatment:	A	B	C	A	C	C	A	B	A	B
Yield:	5	4	3	7	5	1	3	4	1	7

Analyze the results for treatment effect.

Sol:-

A	5	7	3	1
B	4	4	7	
C	3	5	1	

Step 1:

H_0 : There's no significant difference between experiments.

H_1 : There's significant difference between experiments.

X_1	X_2	X_3	Total	X_1^2	X_2^2	X_3^2
5	4	3	12	25	16	9
7	4	5	16	49	16	25
3	7	1	11	9	49	1
1	-	-	1	1	-	-
$\sum X_1 = 16$	$\sum X_2 = 15$	$\sum X_3 = 9$	$T = 40$	$\sum X_1^2 = 84$	$\sum X_2^2 = 81$	$\sum X_3^2 = 35$

Step 2:

No. of observation $\cdot N = 10$

Step 3:-

Grand Total $T = 40$

Step 4:- correction factor

$$\frac{T^2}{N} = \frac{(40)^2}{10} = 160$$

step 5:

$$TSS = \sum x_1^2 + \sum x_2^2 + \sum x_3^2 + \frac{\sum x_4^2}{N} - \frac{T^2}{N}$$

$$= 84 + 81 + 35 - 160$$

$$TSS = 40$$

step 6:-

$$SSC = \frac{(\sum x_1)^2}{N_1} + \frac{(\sum x_2)^2}{N_2} + \frac{(\sum x_3)^2}{N_3} - \frac{T^2}{N}$$

$$= \frac{(16)^2}{4} + \frac{15^2}{3} + \frac{9^2}{3} - 160$$

$$= 64 + 75 + 27 - 160$$

$$SSC = 6$$

step 7:-

$$SSE = TSS - SSC = 40 - 6 = 34$$

Step 8: ANOVA TABLE:-

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	DoF	Mean Squares	Variance ratio	Table Value at 5% level
Between Column	SSC = 6 18	c-1 = 3-1 = 2	MSC = $\frac{SSC}{c-1}$ = $\frac{6}{2}$ = 3	F _{cal} = $\frac{MSE}{MSC}$ = $\frac{4.86}{3}$ = 1.62	F _{tab} (7, 2) = 19.3
Errors	SSE = 34	N-c = 10-3 = 7	MSE = $\frac{SSE}{N-c}$ = $\frac{34}{7}$ = 4.86		

step 9:- Conclusion:-

Here $F_{cal} < F_{tab}$

So, we accept H_0 .

∴ There's no significant difference b/w experiments